

Metal Nanoparticle Coatings on Soft Materials

Integrating metallic nanoparticles in the 1–100 nm size range into the surface of soft substrates such as polymers, elastomers, and hydrogels, has become an active area of materials research owing to the nanoparticle's ability to impart electrical conductivity and mechanical reinforcement at low volume fractions. ^[1] At the nanoscale, size-dependent electronic and surface properties diverge substantially from bulk behaviour, enabling functional enhancements that are not achievable through conventional composite or thin-film approaches. These characteristics have driven a growing research focus on flexible electronics, wearable sensors, and biomedical devices, where conductivity and substrate compliance must be achieved simultaneously. ^[2]

Physical vapour deposition (PVD) routes, and magnetron sputter inert gas condensation (MS-IGC) in particular, offer a solvent-free, contamination-free alternative to wet-chemistry nanoparticle synthesis, with direct control over particle size, composition, and surface coverage during deposition. ^[3, 4]

Why Metal Nanoparticle Coatings on Soft Materials Matter

Flexible and stretchable electronic devices require conductive materials that remain functional under repeated mechanical deformation. Conventional rigid conductors delaminate or crack under strain, limiting their integration with elastomeric substrates. PVD-deposited metal nanoparticle coatings address this directly. Loh et al. (2022) demonstrated that reactive magnetron sputtering of Ag onto unmodified PDMS substrates without pre-strain or substrate modification, produces wrinkled Ag/PDMS surfaces with tunable morphology by varying the N₂/Ar flow ratio during deposition. The nitrogen plasma simultaneously functionalises the PDMS surface into silicon oxynitride, increasing the Young's modulus in a quadratic relationship with the flow ratio and creating the mechanical mismatch that drives wrinkle formation. The resulting Ag/PDMS structures exhibited localised surface plasmon resonance consistent with nanoparticles of approximately 20 nm, with direct application to flexible SERS sensing substrates and electronic skins. ^[5]

The advantage of PVD over wet-chemistry routes for these applications is directly linked to purity and process control. MS-IGC produces hydrocarbon-free, non-agglomerated nanoparticles in a single vacuum step, avoiding the ligand contamination and post-processing requirements associated with colloidal synthesis. This preserves the intrinsic surface properties of the deposited metal, which are critical to both plasmonic and electrical performance. ^[3]

Ag, Au, and Cu: Material Properties and Trade-offs

Silver (Ag), gold (Au), and copper (Cu) nanoparticles are the most widely investigated for conductive and plasmonic coating applications, each presenting a distinct balance of conductivity, chemical stability, and cost.

Ag has the highest electrical conductivity of any metal at approximately 6.3×10^7 S/m and retains this at the nanoscale. In the context of MS-IGC, Ag nanoparticles with an average size

of approximately 4 nm have been successfully produced by DC magnetron sputtering and inert gas condensation in UHV systems, with AFM confirming spherical morphology and XRD verifying simple cubic structure. [6] In SERS applications using MS-IGC-deposited nanoparticles on three-dimensional glass microfibre filter substrates, Ag nanoparticles in the 4–5 nm size range delivered the highest detection sensitivity among all tested metals, including Au, Cu, and Pt with analyte detection demonstrated down to 10^{-9} mol/l for Rhodamine 6G. [7]

Au nanoparticles offer lower bulk conductivity than Ag but provide excellent chemical stability and biocompatibility and are well suited to sensor and biomedical applications where long-term surface stability is required. [1] Cu nanoparticles present the most cost-effective option but oxidise readily under ambient conditions, forming resistive Cu_2O surface layers that degrade conductivity. Cu–Ag and Cu–Au core-shell architectures, accessible via multi-target co-deposition in MS-IGC systems, address this by enclosing the copper core within a noble-metal shell that limits oxidation exposure. [3]

Mechanisms of Conductivity Enhancement

Electrical conductivity in metal nanoparticle-coated soft composites is governed primarily by percolation. Below the percolation threshold, the critical volume fraction at which conducting particles form a continuous network, composite conductivity remains negligible. Above this threshold, the particles are sufficiently interconnected to support electron transport through the matrix. [8]

In MS-IGC, nanoparticle size and surface coverage are independently controllable parameters. Knabl et al. (2024) demonstrated using in situ quadrupole mass spectrometry (QMS) that the size distribution and mass flux of Cu nanoparticles deposited by MS-IGC can be quantified in real time, with a Gaussian distribution of deposited nanoparticles confirmed across the substrate holder diameter by XPS and SEM. [4] This level of process characterisation enables direct optimisation of particle size relative to the percolation threshold for a given substrate system a capability not available in wet-chemistry deposition routes.

The same group subsequently demonstrated that applying a substrate bias voltage of 300–1000 V during MS-IGC deposition increases Cu nanoparticle yield by up to 32%, while progressively altering the morphology of the resulting nanoparticle thin film towards denser packing as the bias voltage increases. [3] This morphological control is directly relevant to tuning the electrical and mechanical properties of coatings on soft substrates.

Mechanical Reinforcement in Soft Materials

Metal nanoparticle coatings contribute to the mechanical properties of soft substrates through two principal mechanisms: physical crosslinking and interfacial stress transfer. Nanoparticles dispersed within or at the surface of a polymer matrix act as physical crosslinks, restricting chain mobility and increasing both stiffness and tensile strength. The magnitude of this reinforcement depends on interfacial adhesion: nanoparticles with surface chemistry compatible with the matrix facilitate greater stress transfer, retarding crack initiation and propagation. [9]

For elastomeric substrates such as PDMS, surface nanoparticle coatings increase wear resistance and surface hardness while preserving substrate elasticity. ^[10] In the MS-IGC context, nanoparticle adhesion to the substrate surface is directly measurable: Çiçek et al. (2025) used atomic force microscopy to quantify nanoparticle-substrate adhesion at the nanoscale, providing a quantitative basis for tailoring nanoparticle/substrate interactions in functional coating design. ^[11]

Research Examples and Material Systems

Experimental demonstrations across several PVD-based and related material systems confirm the conductivity and mechanical performance described above. In the most directly relevant example, Loh et al. (2022) showed that reactive magnetron sputtering of Ag onto PDMS a one-step, solvent-free PVD process, produces conductive, wrinkled Ag/PDMS surfaces applicable to flexible electronics and SERS sensing, with the wrinkle wavelength and morphology tunable through the N₂/Ar ratio during sputtering. ^[5]

Using MS-IGC with a QMS inline, Mouti et al. (2025) deposited Ag, Au, Cu, Pt, and bimetallic combinations on three-dimensional glass microfibre filter substrates, demonstrating that nanoparticle size controlled in the 1.5–8 nm range by the QMS filter directly determines SERS sensitivity. Ag nanoparticles at 4–5 nm achieved the best performance across all tested metals for rhodamine and bovine serum albumin detection. ^[7] This result demonstrates that the size-selectivity of MS-IGC is not merely a process convenience but a functional parameter with measurable downstream impact on coating performance.

Knabl et al. (2024) produced size-selected Cu nanoparticles by MS-IGC and characterised their deposition distribution and film morphology as a function of QMS filtering mode, substrate bias voltage, and deposition time, establishing a quantitative framework for process-to-coating-property relationships in this technique. ^[3, 4]

Stability, Oxidation, and Processing Considerations

Several material and process factors determine whether nanoparticle coatings perform reliably outside the laboratory. Copper nanoparticles oxidise readily under ambient conditions, forming resistive Cu₂O surface layers that can reduce conductivity by several orders of magnitude. In MS-IGC, the UHV deposition environment eliminates ambient oxidation during synthesis and deposition; post-deposition stability depends on storage and encapsulation conditions. ^[3] Noble-metal shelling via multi-target co-deposition enabled by triple-source MS-IGC configurations such as the [NL-D3](#) provides a practical route to oxidation-resistant Cu-based nanoparticles without wet-chemistry processing. ^[3]

At the process level, deposition method determines film microstructure, porosity, and adhesion to the substrate. Knabl et al. (2024) showed that increasing substrate bias voltage in MS-IGC progressively densifies the nanoparticle thin film morphology, shifting it from loosely packed nanoparticle arrays towards structures resembling conventionally sputtered dense films. ^[3] This bias-tunable morphology directly affects both the electrical continuity and the mechanical behaviour of the coating on a soft substrate, offering a process lever that is not available in printing or wet-chemistry deposition routes.

Ensuring compatibility between a metallic nanoparticle coating and a viscoelastic substrate requires control of film thickness, surface pre-treatment, and deposition energy, all parameters that are accessible and reproducible in a PVD-based process. The quantitative adhesion characterisation methods developed by Çiçek et al. (2025) provide a means to verify substrate compatibility before committing to a full coating run. ^[11]

Why Choose Nikalyte for Metal Nanoparticle Coatings on Soft Materials

Nikalyte's [NL-UHV](#) nanoparticle deposition source is designed for the precise, contamination-free nanoparticle deposition required in flexible electronics and soft material research. Operating via terminated gas condensation within an ultra-high vacuum environment, the NL-UHV generates pure and alloy nanoparticles including Ag, Au, and Cu that are hydrocarbon-free and non-agglomerated, directly addressing the purity requirements that determine percolation network reproducibility and coating stability. ^[12]

Nanoparticle size is selectable within the 1–20 nm range using the inline [NL-QMS](#) quadrupole mass spectrometer, which performs real-time mass spectrum analysis with a filtering accuracy of $\pm 2\%$. This capability mirrors the QMS-based process control demonstrated by Knabl et al. (2024) in the peer-reviewed MS-IGC literature, enabling direct optimisation of deposited particle size relative to the percolation threshold or plasmonic resonance requirement of a given soft substrate application. ^[4]

The [NL-D3](#) triple-source configuration supports simultaneous co-deposition of up to three materials, enabling synthesis of alloy and core-shell architectures such as Cu–Ag directly in vacuum, the same configuration class used to produce bimetallic nanoparticle coatings in the Mouti et al. (2025) SERS study. ^[7] Coating morphology, from sub-monolayer to three-dimensional nanoporous films, is controlled through gas flow, magnetron power, and aggregation length, with substrate bias providing an additional lever for film densification, consistent with the approach reported by Knabl et al. (2024). ^[3]

Conclusion

Metal nanoparticle coatings based on Ag, Au, and Cu offer experimentally validated enhancements in electrical conductivity and mechanical properties when applied to soft polymeric and elastomeric substrates. PVD routes and MS-IGC, provide solvent-free, size-controlled, and morphology-tunable deposition that is directly relevant to reproducible functional coating production. Recent peer-reviewed work has established quantitative process-to-property relationships for MS-IGC deposition of Cu and Ag nanoparticles, including the effects of QMS filtering mode, substrate bias voltage, and aggregation parameters on nanoparticle size distribution, deposition rate, and film morphology. These relationships provide the scientific basis for designing nanoparticle coatings on soft substrates with defined electrical and mechanical characteristics, accessible through gas condensation deposition systems operating in ultra-high vacuum.

[Contact us](#) to discuss how the [NL-UHV](#) nanoparticle source and Nikalyte's [PVD systems](#) can support your nanoparticle coating development requirements.

References

1. Dreaden, E. C., Alkilany, A. M., Huang, X., Murphy, C. J., & El-Sayed, M. A. (2012). The golden age: gold nanoparticles for biomedicine. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 41(7), 2740–2779. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C1CS15237H>
2. Pelaz, B., Alexiou, C., Alvarez-Puebla, R. A., Alves, F., Andrews, A. M., Bhujwalla, Z. M., ... & Parak, W. J. (2017). Diverse applications of nanomedicine. *ACS Nano*, 11(3), 2313–2381. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.6b06040>
3. Knabl, F., Gutnik, D., Patil, P., Bandl, C., Vermeij, T., Pichler, C. M., Putz, B., & Mitterer, C. (2024). Enhancement of copper nanoparticle yield in magnetron sputter inert gas condensation by applying substrate bias voltage and its influence on thin film morphology. *Vacuum*, 230, 113724. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vacuum.2024.113724>
4. Knabl, F., Bandl, C., Griesser, T., & Mitterer, C. (2024). In situ and ex situ quantification of nanoparticle fluxes in magnetron sputter inert gas condensation: A Cu nanoparticle case study. *Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology A*, 42(2), 023201. <https://doi.org/10.1116/6.0003283>
5. Loh, J. Y. Y., Kherani, N. P., et al. (2022). A one-step, tunable method of selective reactive sputter deposition as a wrinkling approach for silver/polydimethylsiloxane for electrically conductive pliable surfaces. *Microsystems & Nanoengineering*, 8, 89. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41378-022-00420-z>
6. Musa, I., Qamhieh, N., & Mahmoud, S. T. (2023). Ag nanocluster production through DC magnetron sputtering and inert gas condensation: A study of structural, Kelvin probe force microscopy, and optical properties. *Nanomaterials*, 13(20), 2758. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nano13202758>
7. Mouti, N., Kostoglou, N., Obenaus-Emler, R., & Mitterer, C. (2025). Nanoparticle-functionalized 3D substrates for superior analytical performance in surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy. *Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology A*, 43, 033103. <https://doi.org/10.1116/6.0004328>
8. Crosby, A. J., & Lee, J.-Y. (2007). Polymer nanocomposites: The “nano” effect on mechanical properties. *Polymer Reviews*, 47(2), 217–229. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15583720701271278>
9. Balazs, A. C., Emrick, T., & Russell, T. P. (2006). Nanoparticle polymer composites: Where two small worlds meet. *Science*, 314(5802), 1107–1110. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1130557>
10. Li, S., Zhang, J., He, J., Liu, W., Wang, Y., Huang, Z., Pang, H., & Chen, Y. (2023). Functional PDMS elastomers: Bulk composites, surface engineering, and precision fabrication. *Advanced Science*, 10(34), 2304506. <https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202304506>
11. Çiçek, A., Kratzer, M., Teichert, C., & Mitterer, C. (2025). Quantitative estimation of nanoparticle-substrate adhesion by atomic force microscopy. *Beilstein Archives*. <https://doi.org/10.3762/bxiv.2025.46.v1>
12. Nikalyte Ltd. (n.d.). UHV Nanoparticle Source (NL-UHV). <https://www.nikalyte.com/nl-uhv/>